UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/546,005	08/18/2005	Ingemar Starke	23854-0005US1	8620
26181 FISH & RICHA	7590 02/04/201 ARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 1022			O DELL, DAVID K	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/546,005	STARKE ET AL.				
merview cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David K. O'Dell	1625				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>David K. O'Dell</u> .	(3)					
(2) Richard Bone.	(4)					
Date of Interview: January 2010.						
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☑ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The "in vivo hydrolysable" language was discussed in terms of the definitions on page 11 of the specification, and appropriate language was agreed upon. The examiner elaborated on the deficiencies in the terminal disclaimer and pointed to FP 14.26.01 which was omitted from the office action. Mr. Bone agreed to file an after final amendment along with a terminal disclaimer, which would place the claims in condition for allowance.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
ATU/	Ruan 64	La spen Comment				